Biofilm and planktonic population distribution. Key aspects in carbonaceous anodes for microbial fuel cells.
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: A comparison between different carbon-based anodes used in Microbial Fuel Cells (MFCs) has been carried out. Five different carbonaceous anodes were studied: carbon paper, carbon cloth, carbon foam 30 ppi, carbon foam 80 ppi and carbon felt.

RESULTS: The current density generated was higher for the MFCs with porous anodes compared to the flat ones, exerting up to 14.5 A m\(^{-2}\). However, when the superficial surface was higher than 7500 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) the performance did not increase anymore due to microorganisms transport and mass transfer limitations. Regarding to the biochemical performance, a linear relationship between the exerted current density and the coulombic efficiency was found. This relationship indicates a selection of the electrogenic microbial population when the superficial surface increases. From Illumina MiSeq analyses, it was observed that *Shewanella* population in the biofilm was circa 14\%, whilst its population was negligible in the planktonic culture. This population distribution can be explained because of the low growth rate of the *Shewanella*.

CONCLUSIONS: These results demonstrate that the main driving force of the carbonaceous materials performance lays on the easiness of the biofilm formation and the subsequent population selection, but not on specific electronic properties of the carbon materials.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of electrogenic bacteria led to the development of Microbial Fuel Cells (MFCs). Nowadays, the MFCs stand up as an alternative technology to produce renewable and environmental friendly electricity because it can convert the chemical energy contained in biodegradable substrates into electrical energy \(^1,^2\). During last decades, this technology has been intensively studied with the aim to increase its performance and to get a successful real-world application.

In the literature, it has been described the influence of the main parameters affecting the performance of a MFC. Some of these parameters are the design and configuration of the MFC \(^3,^4\), the external resistance \(^5\), inoculation \(^6,^7\), carbon source \(^8\), operational temperature \(^9\), loading rate \(^1\) and sludge age \(^10,^11\). Usually, the high performance is linked to high coulombic efficiencies (CE), high surface to volume (S/V) ratio and low internal resistances \(^12,^13\). Many results agree with millilitre-scale MFCs as the ones yielding the best performances \(^14\) because of its very low internal resistance and its very high S/V ratio. In the literature, a volume density of 500 W m\(^{-3}\) has been achieved by a 1.2 cm\(^3\) MFC with a high surface area-volume ratio to enhance the proton diffusion and 1010 W m\(^{-3}\) got by an air-breathing MFC of 2.5 cm\(^3\) \(^15\). Due to its small dimensions, this technology can be used as portable power supplies, especially when they are connected to each other \(^16\). Stacking miniaturized MFCs can provide greater power and would guarantee high CE, keeping its small electrode areas \(^17\).

In order to optimize the size of the MFC, it is crucial to consider the characteristics of the anodic surface because it plays a significant role in the initial adhesion, colonization and formation of electrogenic biofilm as well as in the electron transference \(^18\).

The anode must be characterized by its biocompatibility, large surface roughness, high electrical conductivity and low resistance, chemical stability, good mechanical strength and toughness, etc. \(^19\). Moreover, good properties as biomass carrier and efficient electron transfer
between the bacteria and the electrode surface must be ensured to make true the possibility of real-applications for this technology 19.

Nowadays, due to its relatively low cost, the carbon based materials are widely used 20. In the literature, it has been described the use of carbon electrodes as anodic and cathodic electrodes, obtaining, in general, good results 19. Carbon materials fulfils most of the properties required for anodic electrodes. However, its final performance depends on the form of the carbonaceous material used. Regarding to the carbon form used, it must be highlighted that, on one hand, low resistance can be achieved by reducing the distance between anode and cathode, which can be more easily reached working with flat materials such as carbon paper and carbon cloth. On the other hand, working with porous carbon materials, microorganisms can easily growth on the electrode due to its high porosity and roughness, making easier the biofilm formation.

The specific properties of each carbonaceous materials are related to its electronical configuration, mechanical properties and biocompatibility, porosity, etc. Because of that, it is interesting not only to determine their performance, but also to identify the reasons why the performance is enhanced, with the aim to determine the most adequate material for MFC. In general, carbon-based electrodes as foam and felt are widely used as electrode materials, exhibiting significant advantages over the conventional carbon paper and carbon cloth electrodes. The main advantages of the carbon cloth is its flexibility and porosity being its main drawback the high economical cost when it is compared to other carbonaceous materials 21. The carbon paper is also flexible, but present lower porosity when it is compared to carbon cloth. Opposite to carbon paper and carbon cloth, carbon foams are much thicker and have more external surface for bacterial fixation 22. Carbon foam and carbon felt electrodes have not been widely used in conventional MFC. Carbon foam has been used as anode in soil and marine MFC 23. Despite being very promising, carbon felt has been even less used than carbon foam although it have shown good stability and robustness 24. In the
literature, most MFC studies comparing carbonaceous materials focused on volume or membrane area basis, resulting in difficulties in quantitative comparison among the different materials \cite{19}. Because of that, in this work the comparison is based on the superficial surface area.

In this context, the aim of this paper is to study the performance of an air-breathing MFC configured with different carbonaceous materials as anodic electrodes with the aim to identify the driving forces that support their behaviour. To do that, five different carbonaceous electrodes (carbon paper, carbon cloth, carbon foam 30 ppi, carbon foam 80 ppi and carbon felt) were compared, paying attention to both the electrochemical and biochemical performances.
2. Methods and materials.

2.1 Experimental set-up

In order to avoid the influence of external factors when comparing the experimental results, all the experiments were carried out simultaneously, inoculated with the same sludge and operated under the same operational conditions. The experimental set-up consisted of several air-breathing MFCs made of methacrylate, being the cathodic chamber exposed to the atmosphere for the operational simplicity and cost-effectiveness. A scheme of the MFC used is presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Schematic view of the MFC used in this work.](image)

The cathodic electrodes were air-breathing ones based on Toray carbon papers TGH-120 (E-TEK, USA) with a Teflon content of 10% to enhance its mechanical properties. Moreover, in the cathode, a catalytic layer with 0.5 mg Pt cm\(^{-2}\) loading was deposited onto a microporous layer to enhance the cathodic catalytic properties. In the literature, the performance of these air-breathing cathodes have been evaluated. From these evaluation tests, it was shown that in all the cases the anodic reaction acts as the limiting process of the whole MFC performance during both the start-up stage and the steady state operation. Additionally, in the literature it
has also been stated that, when operating with similar air-breathing MFC, the cathodic reaction does not control the MFC performance at the operational conditions defined in this work. Anode and cathode were separated by a Nafion exchange proton membrane (DuPont™ Nafion PFSA Membrane). Regarding to the anodic electrode materials, a total of five different carbonaceous anodic materials were studied. These five anodic carbonaceous anodes were made of carbon paper (CP), carbon cloth (CC), carbon foam of porosity of 30 ppi (CF30ppi), carbon foam of a porosity of 80 ppi (CF80ppi) and carbon felt (CF). The specific surfaces areas of these materials were 350, 1950, 3500, 5200 and 17700 m² m⁻² for the CP, CC, CF30ppi, CF80ppi and CF electrodes respectively. At this point, it is important to highlight that circular, clean, brand new, anodic and cathodic electrodes, with a diameter of 1.05 cm, were used. The electrical circuit and the electrodes were connected by means of tin wire and an external resistance of 120 Ω. The anodic chamber had a total volume of 0.697 cm³ and was connected to an auxiliary tank of 115 cm³. In order to reduce as much as possible the internal resistance, the cathodic electrode and the membrane were assembled by a hot-pressure process and anodic electrode was placed as close as possible to the membrane. For the sake of reproducibility the experiments were carried out in duplicate.

### 2.2 Anodes characterization

In order to characterize the electrode morphology and the biofilm grown over the electrode (REF DIOS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained before and after the experiments by using a FEI QUANTA 250 and the procedure described in the literature. To enhance the accuracy of the images, the samples were pre-treated. The pretreatment consisted on rinsing the electrodes with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then the microbes were fixed using a solution of 4% glutaraldehyde and 4% formaldehyde for 10 h. After that, samples were rinsed three times in PBS (pH 7.0) and once in deionized water. Samples were subjected to a
graded series of ethanol solutions for dehydration. Finally, the samples were dried by using synthetic air at room temperature \(^{26}\).

2.3 Synthetic wastewater

In all the MFC the same synthetic wastewater was fed. All chemicals used to synthetize the wastewater were of analytical grade, using as diluent Mili-Q water. The synthetic wastewater used as feedstock consist of 16.10 g L\(^{-1}\) of sodium acetate as the sole carbon source and trace minerals: 2.77 g L\(^{-1}\) of sodium carbonate, 1.85 g L\(^{-1}\) of ammonium sulphate, 1.11 g L\(^{-1}\) of potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 0.92 g L\(^{-1}\) of hexahydrate magnesium chloride, 1.25 g L\(^{-1}\) of calcium chloride and 0.07 g L\(^{-1}\) of ferric ammonium sulphate.

2.4 Inoculation and operational conditions

MFCs were inoculated with bacteria from an ongoing MFC \(^{11}\). This sludge was subjected to an acclimatization process similar to the one previously described in the literature \(^{11}\). In order to colonize the electrode, the auxiliary tank was seed with the bacterial inoculum and recirculated to the anodic chamber of the MFC during the first day. The second day, a 50% of the auxiliary tank volume was replaced by new inoculum and was left in recirculation mode during another day. Then, the system operated in a fed-batch mode by removing 46 ml of the auxiliary tank volume and replacing it with fresh wastewater in a daily basis. MFCs were operated at room temperature and wastewater was pumped into the anodic chamber at a flow rate of 3 ml min\(^{-1}\) by a multichannel Heidolph (PD-5206 Peristaltic Dosing Dispensing Pump Drive).

2.5 Chemical and electrochemical analyses

Conductivity and pH were measured using a GLP22 Crison pH-meter. The COD removal was measured according to Standard Methods \(^{27}\). Regarding the electrical measurements, the output current density was followed by registering the voltage exerted continuously with a Multimeter Keithley 2000 and the electrochemical characterization was carried out periodically by an
AUTOLAB PGSTAT30. Polarization curves were recorded with an Autolab PGSTAT 30 potentiostat/galvanostat (Ecochemie, The Netherlands) at a scan rate of 1 mV s$^{-1}$ and a step potential of 1 mV $^{28}$.

2.6 Microbial Community analyses

Samples were collected from biofilm and planktonic culture. A total volume of 5 mL was centrifuged at 5630g during 10 minutes in order to obtain the biomass pellet. For the extraction of the genomic DNA (gDNA) from the biomass pellet, 1 mL of each stored sample was used. Concentration and purity of the gDNA samples were determined by measuring absorbance at three different wavelengths: 230, 260 and 280 nm. DNA content was measured in a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies) before libraries preparation. Preparation of 16S rRNA gene libraries was performed from 0.2 ng/μL of gDNA samples. The variable region v4 of bacterial 16S rRNA were amplified using universal primers 515F and 806R$^{29}$. The Polymerase Chain Reaction conditions were as follows: 30 seconds at 95°C for the denaturing stage, followed by 28 cycles of annealing stage, at 95, 55 and 72°C during 30 seconds; and a final elongation stage at 72 ºC during 5 minutes. Resulting libraries of 16S amplicons were subsequently multiplexed and sequenced.

The raw data obtained from the Illumina sequencing stage was sequentially processed. The prinseq-pl algorithm$^{30}$ was applied at the trimming stage. The trimmed paired-end reads were joined with default parameters of fastq-join$^{31}$, chimeras were excluded after the application of the tool UCHIME$^{32}$. Taxonomic assignment was carried out up to genus level, applying a confidence threshold of 0.8, in the Ribosomal Database Project’s Classifier tool$^{33}$. Population analysis results were obtained by using the statistical computing software R.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Performance evaluation in MFC

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the exerted current density of the five MFC tested, which differs only in the carbon anodes used.

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. Evolution of the current density exerted by the MFCs with the time.

In most of the cases, an average of about 20 days was required to reach the steady state. Furthermore, materials with high porosity showed a quickly linear increase, whereas flat materials, as the carbon cloth, showed an exponential trend. This can be explained by the main colonization process, entrapment in the case of porous materials and growth over the surface in the case of the flat materials. Regarding to the output current, once the MFCs achieved the steady state, they were operated for one month.

As can be seen in Figure 2, the worst performance was obtained when operating with CP, a similar result to the reported in the literature. On one hand, the low thickness enhances its efficiency in the electron transport. However, on the other hand, CP is very thin, slightly rigid and fragile, presenting a relatively smooth surface. This flat geometry worsens the biofilm
attachment on the CP anode. The CF30ppi, CC and CF80ppi, more porous carbonaceous materials than the CP, produced current densities of 1.54, 6.8 and 9.8 A m\(^{-2}\) respectively. These materials are more flexible and much more porous than CP, allowing to a larger surface for bacterial attachment and facilitating the microorganisms’ entrapment in the pores. It must be highlighted the low performance of the CF30ppi, when it is compared with the CC and the CF80ppi. When comparing the CF30ppi with the CC, the low performance of the CF30ppi could be explained because, although carbon foams are much thicker and presents a porous structure, its reticulation reduced the external surface for the bacteria attachment. Moreover the very high pore size favours the entrapment of dyed microorganisms that could clog the pores limiting the mass transfer and therefore the microbial growth. The lower performance of the CF30ppi versus the CF80ppi could be explained due to its thin latticework and very high pore size, 0.8 mm, when it is compared with CF80ppi which presents a pore size of 0.3 mm. This combination reduced the available surface for the biofilm attachment and therefore reduced the direct electron transference to the electrode. Finally, the highest current density, 14.5 A m\(^{-2}\), was obtained when operating with CF.

At this point, it is very interesting to evaluate the total electrons transferred from the substrate to the anode once the steady-state was reached and the CE, see Figure 3a. The CE can be easily determined in the fed-batch operational mode by the integration over the time of the exerted current.
Figure 3. Performance of the carbon materials. A) Current density B) Relationship between CE and current density. Dashed lines corresponds to the 95% confidence interval.

In Figure 3a, it can be observed that materials presenting the highest superficial surfaces got the best performances. These materials are the porous carbon forms, whose better performance could be explained because of its easier bacterial entrapment and attachment. Higher specific surface areas lead to greater biofilms, which means more direct electron transfer due to the physical contact with the anode \(^{37-39}\). The increase followed a sigmoidal trend, ranging the more stepped stage within 2500-5000 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) of superficial surface. The current density clearly changed when shifting from CP to CF30ppi. This can be explained because of the combination of a porous surface and thin latticework, which allow to a low available surface to the electrochemical processes. When the superficial surface of the material further increased, the exerted current density followed the same rising trend. However, when operating with the material presenting the highest superficial surface, CF, the current density exerted was not proportional to the superficial surface. This behaviour could be explained because of the filamentous structure of the CF, that offers a very high external surface, but at the same time could limit the substrate and products mass transfer within the porous of the material. From
these results, it can be concluded that superficial surface areas higher than 7500 m$^2$ m$^{-2}$ does not add any significant increase in the current density.

Regarding to the CE, it can be clearly seen how high superficial surfaces of the anodic materials results in great CE (36.2\% for CF80ppi and 39.2 \% for CF), while materials characterized by its plain geometry reach significantly lower efficiencies, such as the CC achieving a CE of about 14\%.

From these results, the steady-state current density exerted by each carbonaceous material and its CE was correlated with the surface area (Figure 3b). A linear relationship between both variables can be clearly observed. The linear relationship suggests that the increase in the current density is directly related to the development of an enriched electrogenic culture when the MFC is operated with porous electrodes. According to this evidence, the biofilm should be characterized by higher electrogenic population than the planktonic ones.

### 3.2 Microbial community structure

In order to analyse the microbial population in the cultures, Illumina MiSeq technique was used to characterize planktonic and biofilm samples. Attending to the phylum, a significant presence of *Proteobacteria* was observed, about 84\% in the biofilm and 88\% in the planktonic culture. According to the genus, the prevailing specie in the biofilm were the *Acinetobacter* (36.6\%) and *Pseudomonas* (14.8\%), whereas the most abundant in the planktonic culture was *Arcobacter* (43.9\%), *Acinetobacter* (20.7\%) and *Pseudomonas* (12.0\%). It is important to highlight the significant presence, about a 14\%, of *Shewanella* in the biofilm. However, *Shewanella* was not detected in the planktonic culture.

These results demonstrated that the electrogenic cultures were mainly located on the biofilm electrode. This could be explained because the biofilm structures allow the growth of slow growing microorganisms, whereas the dilution rate caused by the fed-batch operation washed-
out the slow growing microorganisms from the liquid bulk. For the sake of clarity, the maximum specific growth rates ($\mu$) of conventional anaerobic microorganism and of electrogenic microorganisms were compared. This information is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Maximum specific growth rate of different microbial populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbial Population</th>
<th>$\mu$ (h$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non Electrogenic Microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facultative microorganisms$^{41}$</td>
<td>0.160–0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium populeti</em>$^{42}$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anaerobic Aeromonas hydrophila</em>$^{43}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrogenic Microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium butyricum</em>$^{44}$</td>
<td>0.11–0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shewanella oneidensis</em>$^{45}$</td>
<td>0.085–0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodoferax ferrireducens</em>$^{46}$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geobacter sulfurreducens</em>$^{47}$</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1, it can be seen that the electrogenic microorganisms grow slower than other kinds of microorganisms contained in MFC cultures. This fact, combined with feed-batch operation of the MFC, means that the slow growth rate microorganisms, in this case the electrogenic ones, were easily removed from the planktonic culture. In this scenario, only the biofilm structure allowed to the development of the slow growing electrogenic microorganisms.

3.3 Electrochemical and SEM analyses

The characterization of the MFCs requires additional electrochemical measurements. For this reason, polarization curves were carried out on a weekly basis. For the sake of clarity, only curves during the start-up and once the steady-state was reached are shown in Figure 4.
As can be seen when comparing Figures 4a and 4b, the time of operation smoothed the slope of the polarization curves. Once reached the steady-state, higher current densities were achieved in all the cases. Anyway, it must be highlighted the case of the CF80ppi, which increases from 0.94 A m\(^{-2}\) to 22 A m\(^{-2}\) and the case of the CF, which increases from about 27 A m\(^{-2}\) until 52 A m\(^{-2}\). As it can be seen from the polarization curves, during the start-up, voltage drop was mainly governed by the internal resistance of the electrode, except in the cases of CF and CC, and by transport limitations whose origin could be found in the penetration of bacteria into the pores and mass transfer limitations. The diffusion and transfer problems lose significance once the bacteria started to grow up and activate the mechanisms of electron
transfer, which can be clearly perceived in the devices by the reduction of the slope in the polarization curves as time goes by. Additionally, there were no significant limitations due to activation losses and/or reaction kinetics. This could be explained by the source of the inoculum, an electrogenic seed taken from an ongoing MFC. In this sense, the only exception was CP, which can be explained by the difficult adherence of the microorganisms to this material because of its smooth morphology.

Regarding to performance of the carbonaceous materials, maximum power density was reached by CF, see Figure 4c, revealing the superb performance of this material achieving 3.99 W m\(^{-2}\) during the steady-state, followed by the CF80ppi with 1.89 W m\(^{-2}\) and by the CC with 1.08 W m\(^{-2}\). All these values are much higher than the one obtained when operating with CP 0.022 W m\(^{-2}\).

From the polarization curves obtained once the systems reached the steady-state, the open circuit voltage (OCV) and internal resistance were calculated, see Figure 4d. Both of them, the internal resistance and the OCV of the carbon materials, presented an exponential decrease and increase respectively. These behaviours could also be explained by the materials geometry. The higher the superficial surface, the higher biofilm formation and therefore the higher the electron transference. From these results, it can be clearly seen that the porous materials outcompete the plain geometry ones but the increase in the performance is not linear, presenting a plateau when the superficial surfaces is higher than 7500 m\(^{2}\) m\(^{-2}\). All the systems presented their best OCV values during the steady-state as the electrogenic activity keeps constant: 0.082 V for CP, 0.262 V for C30ppi; 0.314 V for CC; 0.318 V for CF80ppi and 0.424 V for CF. From these results, it can be assumed that higher superficial surfaces of carbon-based materials, leads to higher OCV values. During the start-up, as it was commented previously, the principal limitation consists of the internal resistance. At this point, it is worth to highlight that the internal resistance decreased with the operation time in all the cases. Although the plain forms
are known to produce lower values of internal resistance, because most of its surface is very close to the cathode, its morphology makes more difficult the biofilm colonization and forces the growth of superimposed biofilm layers on the electrode surface leading to a less efficient biofilm and increasing the internal resistance. This fact can be observed in Figure 4d, where the higher value of internal resistance corresponded to CP, 1158 Ω during the steady state, which can be the cause of the negligible current density exerted. It is interesting to emphasize that the best values of OCV corresponded to CF (0.424 V) agreeing with the lowest values of internal resistance of 82.6 Ω. In conclusion, the conductivity of the anode rises with the increase of specific area, being the specific area more relevant.

In order to verify the biofilm growth, SEM images of the electrode were took before and after the experiments. In Figure 5, left SEM images correspond to the raw electrode material and the right one to the colonized anode. As can be seen in these pictures, there was a higher biofilm growth in the electrodes presenting higher superficial surfaces. The CF, a fibre fabric much thicker than the other carbon forms, presented a significant higher superficial surface. However, present a loose texture which on one hand favours the bacterial adhesion and on the other hand limits the cellular transport and mass transference to its inner surface. In most of the cases, increasing the superficial surface facilitates the electron transference. However, it is not true when the pore size is too small for bacterial colonization. In the case of the carbon felt the loose fibres create too small pore size making impossible the cellular transport to the inner surface.
Figure 5. SEM images of the carbonaceous materials before and after the experiments. (A) Carbon paper; (B) Carbon foam of 30 ppi; (C) Carbon cloth; (D) Carbon foam of 80 ppi; (E) Carbon cloth. WD 8.8 mm; HV 15.00 kV; det LFD; spot 4.5; quanta 400 µm.
According to the results obtained, it can be concluded that porous carbon-based materials enhance its performance mainly due to its higher superficial surface. The surface is used for the development of a biofilm, enriched in *Shewanella*, what enhances the electron donation capacity. However, limitations appeared when working with carbon materials presenting superficial areas higher than 7500 m² m⁻². Because of that, the performance or carbonaceous materials with very high porosity is similar in spite of its superficial surfaces.
Conclusions

Porous carbonaceous materials showed better performance than plain ones, being the MFC with CF as anodic electrode material the device that achieves the best performance, exerting 14.5 A m\(^2\) of current density, 3.99 W m\(^{-2}\) of maximum power density and 39.2\% of CE. In general, the higher the superficial surface, the higher current density exerted. However, surfaces over 7500 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) does not increased its performance as expected which could be explained because of microorganisms and mass transfer limitations across the pores. The CE presented a linear relationship with the current density exerted and the superficial surface, indicating a selection of electrogenic microorganisms. The microbial populations were analysed, presenting the biofilm a 14\% of *Shewanella* whereas its presence was almost negligible in the planktonic culture. Because of that, the main driving force in the carbonaceous materials performance was due to its ability to form biofilm and not to its electronic properties. Because of that the most adequate materials are those presenting up to 7500 m\(^2\) m\(^{-2}\) of specific surface area.
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