Effect of electric field on the performance of soil electro-bioremediation with a periodic polarity reversal strategy

E. Mena, J. Villaseñor, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo*

Chemical Engineering Department. Faculty of Chemical Sciences and Technologies &
Research Institute for Chemical and Environmental Technology (ITQUIMA).
Universidad de Castilla La Mancha, Campus Universitario s/n.13071, Ciudad Real,
Spain.

Abstract

In this work, it is studied the effect of the electric fields (within the range 0.0-1.5 V cm⁻¹) on the performance of electrobioremediation with polarity reversal, using a bench scale plant with diesel-spiked kaolinite with 14-d long tests. Results obtained show that the periodic changes in the polarity of the electric field results in a more efficient treatment as compared with the single electro-bioremediation process, and it does not require the addition of a buffer to keep the pH within a suitable range. The soil heating was not very important and it did not cause a change in the temperature of the soil up to values incompatible with the life of microorganisms. Low values of water transported by the electro-osmosis process were attained with this strategy. After only 14 d of treatment, by using the highest electric field studied in this work (1.5 V cm⁻¹), up to 35.40% of the diesel added at the beginning of the test was removed, value much higher than the 10.5% obtained by the single bioremediation technology in the same period.

Keywords

1 Electrobioremediation, bioremediation, polarity reversal, pH, diesel

2 *Corresponding author: Tel.: +34 926 29 53 00 Ext. 3411; Fax: +34 926 29 52 56. E-

3 mail address: Manuel.Rodrigo@uclm.es

4

1. Introduction

For the optimal combination of electrokinetics and bioprocesses in the remediation of polluted soils, there is a key point: microorganisms should be kept alive. To attain suitable conditions for life under the application of an electric field, the most critical parameter is pH (Yeung and Gu, 2011; Gill et al., 2014), which is known to be modified very importantly during the electroremediation of soil (Ruiz et al., 2014). These variations are due to the transport by electromigration of the protons and hydroxyl ions generated on the surface of the electrodes, by the well-known water electrolysis reactions (Eq. 1 and 2). (Acar, 1993; Virkutyte et al., 2002)

The protons, produced on the surface of the anode/s, are transported towards the negatively charged electrode/s (cathode/s), forming the so-called acid front. Likewise, the hydroxyl anions, produced on the surface of the cathode/s, are transported towards the positively charged electrode/s (anode/s) forming the basic front. Due to the higher migration constants of the protons (related not only to the size), their transport under an electric field is faster than the transport of the hydroxyl ions. This higher mobility helps to understand that the acid pH front moves along the soil at higher rate than the basic pH front. (Acar et al., 1995)

There are several relevant consequences of the pH fronts in the soil. Thus the dissolution of precipitates is favored by the acid pH front. This front produces the

1 release of metals from hydroxide and carbonate precipitates and those retained in the
2 soil by ion exchange. This effect is not always important and depends strongly on the
3 characteristic of the soil: in many types of soil the migration of the protons may be
4 hindered, in particular if the soil has a relatively high buffering capacity (Reddy and
5 Cameselle, 2009b). On the other hand, as it could be expected, the basic pH front
6 produces the opposite processes and it may cause the precipitation of metals (especially
7 as hydroxide and carbonate salts) and can even prevent the movement of the pollutants
8 particles by blocking pores of the soil. (Reddy and Cameselle, 2009a)

9 Focusing on the effect of the acid and basic fronts on the biological processes, the
10 most important consequence is that the zones of the soil with extreme pH values, are
11 incompatible with the life of the microbial consortia used to degrade pollutants
12 (Jackman et al., 2001; Page and Page, 2002; Lear et al., 2004; Luo et al., 2006; Lear et
13 al., 2007; Wick et al., 2010). pH values below 3 and above 9, as well as sudden changes
14 in the pH of the treatment system matrix, can significantly inhibit microbial growth by
15 interfering with the microbial metabolism, gas solubility in soil water, nutrients
16 availability and bioavailability in soil water, and heavy metals solubilities. (Juwarkar et
17 al., 2010)

18 In this context, diesel-degrading organisms are not characterized for being a type
19 of biological culture particularly resistant to pH changes. The optimal conditions for the
20 growth of the microorganisms were evaluated in previous works of this research group
21 (Moliterni et al., 2012), and they include mild temperature (around 26 °C) and neutral
22 pH. Taking into account these considerations, it is necessary to look for strategies that
23 help avoiding the lethal influence of the extreme pH fronts on the microbial
24 performance.

Several authors have suggested different strategies to maintain a proper pH value for the combined biological and electrokinetic remediation of soil (Pazos et al., 2010; Yeung and Gu, 2011; Gomes et al., 2012; Gill et al., 2014). Among them, it can be highlighted the dosing of buffering agents to the flushing fluids, and the recirculation of electrolyte solutions between the anode and cathode compartments (Lee and Yang, 2000). The main challenge is to find a life-compatible and environmentally-friendly reagent effective in both the anolyte and the catholyte pH regulation. This strategy is not easy to be applied and it fails in long treatments because it is not easy to get by properly. A different strategy is the periodic change of the polarity of the electric field applied to the soil (periodic polarity reversal). Initially, this is a simple solution for regulating the changes in the pH and it could also be used for improving the distribution of ionic inorganic nutrients (Kim and Han, 2003; Luo et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2006; Fan et al., 2007; Niqui-Arroyo and Ortega-Calvo, 2007; Harbottle et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013) preventing their depletion from the soil by accumulation in the anolyte or in the catholyte. No information is available in the literature about the optimization of the electric field applied and the appropriate time intervals for the change of the polarity of the electric field.

Thus, the main objective of this work is the optimization of the polarity reversal strategy for the synergistic combination of the electrokinetic and the biological treatments. In order to meet this objective, following are detailed the partial objectives that are planned to be assessed:

- Evaluation of the influence of applying a periodic change in the polarity of the electric field for the control of the pH value in an electrokinetic-biological treatment of a diesel polluted clay soil.

1 - Optimization of the value of electric field applied for the optimal performance of
2 the periodic polarity reversal strategy.

3 - Comparison between results obtained in the direct application of the electro-
4 bioremediation treatment and results obtained with the polarity reversal strategy.

5 6 **2. Material and Methods**

7
8 The experimental setup used in the tests was described elsewhere (Ramírez et al.,
9 2015). The soil used was kaolinite clay (provided by Manuel Riesgo Chemical Products,
10 Madrid, Spain). Diesel oil was selected as pollutant. It was purchased from a petrol
11 station in Ciudad Real, Spain. To artificially pollute the soil, the diesel was diluted
12 tenfold in acetone before evenly distributing the solution drop by drop in the
13 corresponding amount of kaolinite. The solvent (acetone) and the higher volatile diesel
14 fractions (approximately 6% of the initial amount of added diesel) were allowed to
15 evaporate at room temperature for at least 2 d. The concentration of diesel present in the
16 soil at the beginning of the experiment was approximately 10 g kg^{-1} . The diesel-
17 degrading microbial consortium used for the experiments was isolated from a diesel-oil
18 contaminated soil, which was collected from a site in the vicinity of an oil refinery near
19 Ciudad Real, Spain. This consortium of microorganisms was maintained and enriched
20 with Bushnell-Hass Broth (BHB) as the mineral medium (the composition of the
21 medium per litre of deionised water was $0.20 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ MgSO}_4$, $0.02 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ CaCl}_2$, 1.00 g L^{-1}
22 KH_2PO_4 , $1.00 \text{ g L}^{-1} (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4$, $0.05 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ FeCl}_3$ and $1.00 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ KNO}_3$) and diesel
23 hydrocarbon as the sole carbon source (1.0% v/v). The electrolyte used both in the
24 anolyte and in the catholyte was a synthetic groundwater ($80.75 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ Na}_2\text{SO}_4$, 70.00
25 NaHCO_3 , $30.36 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ NaNO}_2$).

1
2 The remediation experiments were carried out applying four electric fields (0.0,
3 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 V cm⁻¹). The duration of the treatment processes was two weeks. A
4 daily (every 24 h) polarity reversal of the electric field was applied. The periodicity of
5 the polarity reversal was chosen arbitrarily following some previous tests (not shown)
6 carried out to check the appropriateness of this choice in order to prevent the exhaustion
7 of nutrients by their transport to electrolyte wells. At the end of the experiments, the soil
8 samples were taken in four longitudinal positions, which correspond to four different
9 intermediate points between anode and cathode compartments. In addition, each
10 intermediate longitudinal position also considered four different sampling points,
11 distributed in different axial positions. The results show the average concentration of the
12 four different samples taken at the same longitudinal position, that is, at the same
13 distance of the electrodes, and so it was possible to analyze the axial deviation in the
14 points situated at the same distance of the electrodes. The designation of the sampling
15 points was done following the same procedure previously discussed in the literature
16 (Mena Ramírez et al., 2014; Mena et al., 2015). It is relevant to take into account that
17 electrodes change every day its role as anode or cathode due to the polarity reversal. For
18 this reason, the function of the electrodes on day 0 was used to label each soil portion in
19 the *post mortem* characterization.

20 Regarding the analytical procedures, the moisture was calculated in soil samples
21 gravimetrically, taking into account the weight loss before and after drying at 105 °C for
22 24h. The pH, conductivity and nitrate, phosphate, and ammonium concentrations were
23 measured from the soil samples as follows: 10 g of the dried soil samples were
24 suspended in 25 mL of Milli-Q water by 20 min of vigorous magnetic agitation. After
25 that, samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min. Measurement of the parameters

was made in the supernatant phase. pH was measured using a CRISON pH meter and conductivity was measured using a Jenway conductivimeter. Diesel concentration was determined using a fractionated serial extraction: briefly, 10 g of wet soil were mixed with 4 mL of hexane. In every extraction the soil was mixed with the corresponding volume of dissolvent and agitated vigorously in a Vortex agitator for 5 min. After that, samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min. Samples taken from the organic supernatant phase were analyzed using a Trace GC Ultra (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID). Microbial concentration was expressed as Colony Forming Units (CFU) per dry gram of soil. It was measured suspending 10 g of wet soil in 10 mL of saline by 1 min of Vortex agitation. After that, an aliquot of 100 μ L of the soil-saline suspension was plating on Petri dishes. The nutrient solid phase of these dishes were prepared using LB medium (with the following composition per litre of deionised water: NaCl 10.0 g, yeast extract 5.0 g and casein peptone 10.0 g), 15.0 g L⁻¹ of European Bacteriological Agar and 2.0 g L⁻¹ of glucose as carbon source. Inoculums were evenly spread using Digrafsky handles and the plates were incubated for 48 h at 26 °C, which is time enough to enumerate the individual colonies present in each sample. Finally, concentration of biomass in the biobarrier was also measured as volatile solids before and after the treatment. This value corresponds with the weight difference in the dried samples before and after the calcination at 550 °C for 2 h.

3. Results and discussion

In a previous work of our group (Ramírez et al., 2015), it was discussed the reasons that explained why the direct combination (direct electro-bioremediation) of

1 E.K.S.F. and bioremediation failed in the remediation of soil polluted with diesel.
2 Despite the amphoteric behaviour, using a bicarbonate buffer solution to keep regulated
3 the pH value was not a good strategy and suitable conditions to maintain the viability of
4 the microbial culture were not met. In this work, a different strategy to keep the pH
5 under a suitable range is going to be evaluated. It consists of the periodic polarity
6 reversal. Thus, not a proper flushing of the soil is carried out, because the direction of
7 the electro-osmotic flow changes periodically. However, mobility of species is
8 increased and with it, the chances for the increased interaction of pollutant,
9 microorganisms and nutrients. After some preliminary tests, a frequency of 1 d^{-1} was
10 considered suitable for the polarity reversal periodicity because it avoids depletion of
11 any nutrient and results in a proper pH distribution. Next, the effect of the applied
12 electric field on the performance of the electrobioremediation technology is going to be
13 discussed by evaluating the effect on different key parameters.

14
15 **Current density.** The rate of the mass-transport electrokinetic processes depends
16 on the value of the resulting current density applied to the system, which, at the same
17 time, is a consequence of the application of an electric field. In this way, current density
18 becomes the most important parameter in the monitoring of the electro-bioremediation
19 processes. Fig. 1 shows the variation in the values of current density in the different
20 periodic polarity-reversal tests carried out. For the sake of comparison, results obtained
21 in the single bioremediation test are also included in this Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Time-course of the current density in the electro-bioremediation tests with periodic polarity reversal. Experiments at 0.0 V cm^{-1} ($\times$), 0.5 V cm^{-1} ($\bullet$), 1.0 V cm^{-1} ($\blacktriangle$) and 1.5 V cm^{-1} ($\blacksquare$).

There are several relevant aspects to be highlighted related to the current densities changes. As expected, the higher the electric field applied, the higher is the resulting current density. However, as a major difference, as time passed by in this process, it was observed a decrease in the differences between current densities obtained in the three tests, reaching very similar current density values for the three different electric field experiments. It is also important to note the *zig-zag* shape of the trends, which may be explained by the daily change in the polarity of the electric field applied. In general, a slightly higher value of current density was obtained when the direction of the electric field applied was in the contrary direction to what applied in the first day of the experiment. Several authors have suggested that this behavior is due to the change in the charge of the double layer that results in a high consumption of the capacitive part of the current due the double layer discharging or recharging (Röhrs et al., 2002). These changes are more pronounced applying higher values of electric field.

Temperature. One of the most important aspects for the successful application of the electro-bioremediation treatment is the control of the temperature. Unsuccessful

regulation may prevent the good performance of the microbial culture. As it was expected, the maximum heating of the soil was observed in the experiment in which the highest value of electric field was applied. However, in this case, the highest values of temperature were not above the optimal value for the development of the microbial population. In fact, temperature was kept under 30 °C regardless of the electric field applied. Hence, the inhibition of the biological process, if occurred, cannot be explained in terms of extremely value of temperature due to very accused heating process, because in this case mild conditions in terms of temperature are obtained.

Electro-osmotic flow. The value of the electro-osmotic flux can give an idea of the rate at which the other electrokinetic mass transport processes occurs, which have to be quantified using more complex procedures. In Fig. 2, the values of the volume and the flow rate of the water transported by the electro-osmotic flows are plotted.

Fig. 2. Time-course of the volume of water transported by electro-osmosis (a) and time-course of the electro-osmotic flow (b). Experiments at 0.0 V cm⁻¹ (×), 0.5 V cm⁻¹ (●), 1.0 V cm⁻¹ (▲) and 1.5 V cm⁻¹ (■).

In comparing with the direct electro-bioremediation treatment, a considerably lower value of water flow was transported throughout the soil. This result had as a consequence a lower transport of water soluble species throughout the system but also,

and directly related to it, a lower removal of inorganic nutrients from the system. This can be beneficial for the overall process as the contact among the different elements taking part in the biological degradation is increased in low distances ($\approx 100 \mu\text{m}$) (Wick et al., 2007), but no exhaustion of nutrients is obtained.

Moisture profiles in the soil. Moisture is a very important parameter because the biological degradation develops in the water contained in the soil and a proper operation of the electrokinetic process needs working with soil near to moisture saturation point. So, the evaluation of the changes produced in the moisture of the soil after the treatment is relevant. In the same way, the quantification of the loss of water due to the evaporation process is also very important for the optimal application of the treatment technique. Fig. 3 shows the moisture content of the soil before and after the treatment. Results of the single bioremediation test (0.0 V cm^{-1}) were also included for the sake of comparison.

Fig. 3. Moisture profile in the soil before (— —) and after (—) the periodic reversal polarity remediation tests carried out at (a) 0.0 V cm^{-1} , (b) 0.5 V cm^{-1} , (c) 1.0 V cm^{-1}

1 and (d) 1.5 V cm^{-1} . The line (—) is the average of the four values in the different
2 axial positions (top right (◆), top left (■), bottom right (▲), bottom left (●)).

3
4 The most significant differences between the values of moisture in the different
5 sections of the soil were obtained under the application of the higher values of electric
6 fields. In these cases, it was also obtained the highest values of water transported by
7 electro-osmosis. This water was mostly mobilized from the central sections of the soil,
8 leading to a decrease in the content of water in these areas. As the periodic polarity
9 reversal strategy consists of applying for the same time the electric field on both
10 directions, the electro-osmosis transport process also occurs in both directions along the
11 soil for the same period of time. The volume of water transported was approximately
12 the same towards both electrodic compartments, obtaining an almost symmetrical
13 redistribution of the moisture contained in the soil in the highest electric field
14 experiment. However, this strategy leads to a decrease in the moisture in the central
15 zones of the soil which becomes more pronounced with the increase in the applied
16 electric field. In the tests carried out, it does not produces an operating problem but in
17 longer remediation treatments it should be carefully managed because it could affect the
18 performance of the system if it decreases down to values in which the electrokinetic or
19 even the biological processes are prevented.

20
21 **Changes in the pH.** Due to the absence of a buffer solution used as electrolyte,
22 pH underwent variation to acid pH in the anolyte and to basic pH in the catholyte which
23 are corrected daily with the polarity reversal. It was observed a “zig-zag” shape in the
24 variation of the values corresponding with the daily change of the anodic and cathodic
25 electrode.

In spite of the pronounced changes in the pH observed in the electrolyte, it was expected that the daily polarity reversal of the electric field avoided the displacement of the extreme pH fronts throughout the soil. As expected, in the bioremediation experiment (without applying electric fields) the value of pH in the electrolytes did not undergo any significant modification. Fig. 4 compares the pH values of the soil before and after the tests.

Fig. 4. pH profile in the soil before (— —) and after (—) the periodic reversal polarity remediation tests carried out at (a) 0.0 V cm^{-1} , (b) 0.5 V cm^{-1} , (c) 1.0 V cm^{-1} and (d) 1.5 V cm^{-1} . The line (—) is the average of the four values in the different axial positions (top right (◆), top left (■), bottom right (▲), bottom left (●)).

One of the most important aspects for the successful implementation of the electro-bioremediation treatment is the perfect control of the pH in the soil. The displacement of the pH fronts (if no control procedure is used) leads to extremely acid pH values in the area near to the anode and, similarly, extreme basic pH in the area near to the cathode. The most important objective of the application of the polarity-reversal

1 strategy is the efficient control of the pH to attain values suitable for the biological
2 process.

3 The pH in the soil was maintained in suitable values for the development of the
4 microbial population and the degradation of the pollutant. Only working with the
5 highest value of voltage, the values of pH reach values slightly above or below the
6 optimal for the microorganisms, but only in the areas more directly affected by the pH
7 fronts, near to the electrodes. Consequently, it can be concluded that the periodic
8 polarity-reversal attained satisfactory results avoiding the influence of the extreme pH
9 variations as long as the electric field was not too high causing quick pH change in short
10 periods of time.

11
12 **Conductivity.** It is again relevant the characteristic *zig-zag* shape observed in the
13 variation of the conductivity values obtained both in the anolyte and also in the
14 catholyte in the electro-bioremediation tests with periodic polarity reversal,
15 corresponding with the daily change of the anodic and cathodic electrode. It is worth
16 noting that, in general, conductivity values in the catholyte were slightly higher than in
17 the anolyte.

18 The analysis of the changes in the distribution of the conductivity in the soil after
19 the treatment gives information about the transport of the ionic species. Fig. 5 compares
20 the values of the conductivity in the soil before and after the treatment. As in the
21 discussion of previous parameters, results of the bioremediation tests are also included
22 for the sake of comparison.

Fig. 5. Conductivity profile in the soil before (— —) and after (—) the periodic reversal polarity remediation tests carried out at (a) 0.0 V cm^{-1} , (b) 0.5 V cm^{-1} , (c) 1.0 V cm^{-1} and (d) 1.5 V cm^{-1} . The line (—) is the average of the four values in the different axial positions (top right (◆), top left (■), bottom right (▲), bottom left (●)).

Conductivity in the soil changed in different ways depending on the value of electric field applied. Considering firstly results obtained in the experiment without applying electric field, a slight decrease in the conductivity in the areas of the soil near to the electrodic wells was obtained. This decrease can be explained in terms of the diffusion of the ionic species to the electrolyte contained in the electrodic well. Under the application of an electric field of 0.5 V cm^{-1} , a general increase in the conductivity of the soil was obtained. The electromigration process and in a lesser extension the drag with the electro-osmotic flow increase the concentration of ionic species in the soil being the influence of this process higher than the removal by accumulation of these species in the electrodic wells. However, under application of higher electric fields (1.0 and 1.5 V cm^{-1}) a general decrease in the conductivity of the soil was obtained, being this decrease higher with the increase in the electric field applied. In these cases, the

removal of ionic species from the soil had higher influence than the supply with the electrolyte transported throughout the soil. In the experiment carried out at 1.0 V cm^{-1} ionic species are still present at suitable values in the soil.

Microbial concentration profiles in the soil. With the periodic polarity reversal strategy, the most important variables affecting the biological process were adequately controlled. The reversal in the polarity of electrodes allows us to keep suitable values of the pH and the temperature in the soil. Consequently, the survival of the microbial population is expected to be higher. Fig. 6 shows the concentrations of microbial population before and after the remediation tests.

Fig. 6. Microorganisms concentration profile in the soil before (— —) and after (—) the periodic reversal polarity remediation tests carried out at (a) 0.0 V cm^{-1} , (b) 0.5 V cm^{-1} , (c) 1.0 V cm^{-1} and (d) 1.5 V cm^{-1} . The line (—) is the average of the four values in the different axial positions (top right (◆), top left (■), bottom right (▲), bottom left (●)).

1 As it can be observed, except for the test in which the highest electric field was
2 applied, there are no significant differences between the bioremediation test and the
3 electro-bioremediation tests. The microbial cultures survive in the four sections (and
4 also in the subsections) and even a low increase in the population can be seen. The
5 worst conditions for the microbial population were under the application of highest
6 value of electric field and they can be explained if profiles of pH and of microorganisms
7 concentrations are compared: in the zones near to the electrodic wells the changes of the
8 pH were higher and these changes affects to the viability of the microorganisms culture.
9 Anyhow, population in the central positions is maintained and this observation points
10 out again the extreme importance of keeping well-regulated soil pH in electro-
11 bioremediation processes, and also that the central position would be the most protected
12 place regarding possible extreme pH conditions.

13
14 **Diesel concentration profiles in the soil.** Electro-bioremediation with polarity
15 reversal successes in keeping the pH and temperature under suitable values for life.
16 These suitable conditions reflect on the viability of the biological culture that is
17 maintained during the 14 d-long tests (except for zones close to electrodes under the
18 application of the highest electric field) and this viability maybe reflected in the
19 performance of the microorganisms and hence in the removal of diesel pollution from
20 soil. Fig. 7 compares the concentration of diesel oil in the soil at the beginning and at
21 the end of the different experiments. As it can be observed, removal of diesel obtained
22 by the electro-bioremediation tests is much higher than that obtained by the single
23 bioremediation. In comparing the effect of the electric field, it is seen that the higher the
24 electric field applied, the higher is the removal of pollution. This fact means that

periodic polarity reversal is a good alternative for the remediation of soils and that electric field has to be carefully managed,

Fig. 7. Diesel concentration profile in the soil before (— —) and after (—) the periodic reversal polarity remediation tests carried out at (a) 0.0 V cm⁻¹, (b) 0.5 V cm⁻¹, (c) 1.0 V cm⁻¹ and (d) 1.5 V cm⁻¹. The line (—) is the average of the four values in the different axial positions (top right (◆), top left (■), bottom right (▲), bottom left (●)).

The most relevant aspect to highlight in these results is the highest removal of diesel oil from the soil obtained in the experiment with the highest value of electric field. This is an illustrative result of the complexity of the technology studied in this work and it is worth reminding that the most important objective of the combination of the biodegradation and the electrokinetic transport processes is the increase in the possibilities of interaction among the different elements taking part in the degradation process.

Efficiency of the treatment. Table 1 summarizes the most relevant results obtained in the experiments for the evaluation of the efficiency of the electro-bioremediation with periodic polarity reversal technology. In this way, it can be checked that, in general, the application of the electro-bioremediation technology improved results obtained about the removal of diesel pollution from the soil, compared with the single bioremediation test.

Table 1: Pollutant and inorganic nutrient removal, electrical consumption and soil properties.

	Test			
	0.0 V cm ⁻¹	E.B.R.-P.R. 0.5 V cm ⁻¹	E.B.R.-P.R. 1.0 V cm ⁻¹	E.B.R.-P.R. 1.5 V cm ⁻¹
Soil treated g	2,941	2,848	2,810	2,752
Diesel added mg mg kg ⁻¹ Soil	29,415 10,000	28,480 10,000	28,102 10,000	27,521 10,000
N_{Total} added mg mg kg ⁻¹ Soil	513 175	588 206	571 203	541 197
P_{Total} added mg mg kg ⁻¹ Soil	346 118	368 129	364 130	349 127
Flushing fluid added mL mL kg ⁻¹ Soil	1,420 483	3,830 1,345	6,560 2,335	6,880 2,500
Moisture mL kg ⁻¹ Soil %	432 43.20	410 40.99	430 42.99	406 40.65
pH	7.64	7.89	7.64	7.41
Electrical conductivity mS/cm	0.673	0.683	0.618	0.781
Diesel removed mg %	3,066 10.42	6,364 22.35	5,389 19.18	9,742 35.40
N_{Total} removed Electrode compartments and sampling mg	51	78	190	292
P_{Total} removed Electrode compartments and sampling Mg	17	2	6	6
Flushing fluid removed Electro-osmosis Sampling mL	0 280	1,170 280	3,934 280	4,631 280
Moisture mL kg ⁻¹ Soil %	410 41.03	417 41.70	414 41.41	385 38.50
pH	7.80	8.02	7.88	8.10
Electrical conductivity mS/cm	0.606	0.847	0.443	0.233
Electrical consumption Wh kg ⁻¹ Soil	0	42	145	289

1 The best results were obtained in the experiment applying 1.5 V cm^{-1} , although in
2 this case also the highest electrical consumption was obtained. In these experiments an
3 important amount of inorganic nutrients was removed from the system due to the
4 electrokinetic processes, although in this case the efficiency of the biological process
5 was not diminished if they are replaced.

6 These results are very promising but they pointed out that this type of technology
7 needs for further studies in order to be applied in full-scale applications. In particular
8 the scale-up of the technology is one of the topics that will focus more attention in the
9 next years as it was recently pointed out in a review about the removal of other organics
10 with electrochemically assisted technology (Rodrigo et al., 2014).

12 **4. Conclusions**

14 From this work, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 15 - The periodic changes in the polarity of the electric field results in a more
16 efficient treatment as compared with the single electro-bioremediation
17 process. In addition, it was not necessary the addition of a buffer to keep
18 the pH within a suitable range. The soil heating was not very important
19 and it did not cause a change in the temperature of the soil up to values
20 incompatible with the life of microorganisms. Low values of water
21 transported by the electro-osmosis process were attained with this strategy.
22 The removal of nutrients from the soil due to the dragging with the water
23 transported by the electro-osmosis was lower than in the single electro-
24 bioremediation technique. However, a nutrient replacement is necessary.

- 1 - The final objective of the periodic polarity reversal was to avoid the
2 greatly extension of the extreme pH fronts throughout the soil. Results
3 obtained demonstrated that this objective was successfully achieved. With
4 the exception of the experiment at the highest electric field, in all the
5 sections of the soil the pH values were around the neutral point. Hence, the
6 inhibition of the biological degradation due to the extreme pH was avoided
7 by the periodic reversion of the electric field. In fact, concentration of
8 alive microorganisms measured in the soil at the end of the tests was even
9 increased as compared to the initial concentration.
- 10 - The best pollutant removal results (9,742 mg or, what is the same, 35.40%
11 of the diesel added at the beginning of the test) were obtained in the
12 experiment carried out at the highest value of electric field. These
13 conditions also lead to the highest electrical consumption.

15 **Acknowledgements**

16

17 The authors acknowledge funding support from the EU and Spanish Government
18 through the MINECO Project CTM2013-45612-R and INNOCAMPUS.

20 **Literature Cited**

- 21 Acar, Y.B., 1993. Principles of electrokinetic remediation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 27,
22 2638-2647.
- 23 Acar, Y.B., Gale, R.J., Alshawabkeh, A.N., Marks, R.E., Puppala, S., Bricka, M.,
24 Parker, R., 1995. Electrokinetic remediation: Basics and technology status. J. Hazard.
25 Mater. 40, 117-137.

1 Fan, X., Wang, H., Luo, Q., Ma, J., Zhang, X., 2007. The use of 2D non-uniform
 2 electric field to enhance in situ bioremediation of 2,4-dichlorophenol-contaminated soil.
 3 J. Hazard. Mater. 148, 29-37.
 4 Gill, R.T., Harbottle, M.J., Smith, J.W.N., Thornton, S.F., 2014. Electrokinetic-
 5 enhanced bioremediation of organic contaminants: A review of processes and
 6 environmental applications. Chemosphere 107, 31-42.
 7 Gomes, H.I., Dias-Ferreira, C., Ribeiro, A.B., 2012. Electrokinetic remediation of
 8 organochlorines in soil: Enhancement techniques and integration with other remediation
 9 technologies. Chemosphere 87, 1077-1090.
 10 Harbottle, M.J., Lear, G., Sills, G.C., Thompson, I.P., 2009. Enhanced biodegradation
 11 of pentachlorophenol in unsaturated soil using reversed field electrokinetics. J. Environ.
 12 Manage. 90, 1893-1900.
 13 Huang, D., Guo, S., Li, T., Wu, B., 2013. Coupling interactions between electrokinetics
 14 and bioremediation for pyrene removal from soil under polarity reversal conditions.
 15 Clean - Soil, Air, Water 41, 383-389.
 16 Jackman, S.A., Maini, G., Sharman, A.K., Sunderland, G., Knowles, C.J., 2001.
 17 Electrokinetic movement and biodegradation of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid in silt
 18 soil. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 74, 40-48.
 19 Juwarkar, A.A., Singh, S.K., Mudhoo, A., 2010. A comprehensive overview of
 20 elements in bioremediation. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 9, 215-288.
 21 Kim, S.S., Han, S.J., 2003. Application of an enhanced electrokinetic ion injection
 22 system to bioremediation. Water Air Soil Poll. 146, 365-377.
 23 Lear, G., Harbottle, M.J., Sills, G., Knowles, C.J., Semple, K.T., Thompson, I.P., 2007.
 24 Impact of electrokinetic remediation on microbial communities within PCP
 25 contaminated soil. Environ. Pollut. 146, 139-146.
 26 Lear, G., Harbottle, M.J., Van Der Gast, C.J., Jackman, S.A., Knowles, C.J., Sills, G.,
 27 Thompson, I.P., 2004. The effect of electrokinetics on soil microbial communities. Soil
 28 Biol. Biochem. 36, 1751-1760.
 29 Lee, H.H., Yang, J.W., 2000. A new method to control electrolytes pH by circulation
 30 system in electrokinetic soil remediation. J. Hazard. Mater. 77, 227-240.
 31 Luo, Q., Wang, H., Zhang, X., Fan, X., Qian, Y., 2006. In situ bioelectrokinetic
 32 remediation of phenol-contaminated soil by use of an electrode matrix and a rotational
 33 operation mode. Chemosphere 64, 415-422.

- 1 Luo, Q., Zhang, X., Wang, H., Qian, Y., 2005. The use of non-uniform electrokinetics
2 to enhance in situ bioremediation of phenol-contaminated soil. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 121,
3 187-194.
- 4 Mena, E., Ruiz, C., Villaseñor, J., Rodrigo, M.A., Cañizares, P., 2015. Biological
5 permeable reactive barriers coupled with electrokinetic soil flushing for the treatment of
6 diesel-polluted clay soil. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 283, 131-139.
- 7 Mena Ramírez, E., Villaseñor Camacho, J., Rodrigo Rodrigo, M.A., Cañizares
8 Cañizares, P., 2014. Feasibility of electrokinetic oxygen supply for soil bioremediation
9 purposes. *Chemosphere* 117, 382-387.
- 10 Moliterni, E., Jiménez-Tusset, R.G., Villar Rayo, M., Rodriguez, L., Fernández, F.J.,
11 Villaseñor, J., 2012. Kinetics of biodegradation of diesel fuel by enriched microbial
12 consortia from polluted soils. *International J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 9, 749-758.
- 13 Niqui-Arroyo, J.L., Ortega-Calvo, J.J., 2007. Integrating biodegradation and
14 electroosmosis for the enhanced removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from
15 creosote-polluted soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 36, 1444-1451.
- 16 Page, M.M., Page, C.L., 2002. Electroremediation of contaminated soils. *J. Environ.*
17 *Eng.* 128, 208-219.
- 18 Pazos, M., Rosales, E., Alcántara, T., Gómez, J., Sanromán, M.A., 2010.
19 Decontamination of soils containing PAHs by electroremediation: A review. *J. Hazard.*
20 *Mater.* 177, 1-11.
- 21 Ramírez, E.M., Camacho, J.V., Rodrigo, M.A., Cañizares, P., 2015. Combination of
22 bioremediation and electrokinetics for the in-situ treatment of diesel polluted soil: A
23 comparison of strategies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 533, 307-316.
- 24 Reddy, K.R., Cameselle, C., 2009. *Electrochemical Remediation Technologies for*
25 *Polluted Soils, Sediments and Groundwater.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New
26 Jersey.
- 27 Rodrigo, M.A., Oturan, N., Oturan, M.A., 2014. Electrochemically Assisted
28 Remediation of Pesticides in Soils and Water: A Review. *Chem. Rev.* 114, 8720-8745.
- 29 Ruiz, C., Mena, E., Canizares, P., Villasenor, J., Rodrigo, M.A., 2014. Removal of
30 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol from Spiked Clay Soils by Electrokinetic Soil Flushing Assisted
31 with Granular Activated Carbon Permeable Reactive Barrier. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 53,
32 840-846.
- 33 Röhrs, J., Ludwig, G., Rahner, D., 2002. Electrochemically induced reactions in soils -
34 A new approach to the in-situ remediation of contaminated soils? Part 2: Remediation

1 experiments with a natural soil containing highly chlorinated hydrocarbons.
2 *Electrochim. Acta* 47, 1405-1414.

3 Virkutyte, J., Sillanpää, M., Latostenmaa, P., 2002. Electrokinetic soil remediation -
4 Critical overview. *Sci. Total Environ.* 289, 97-121.

5 Wick, L.Y., Buchholz, F., Fetzer, I., Kleinsteinuber, S., Härtig, C., Shi, L., Miltner, A.,
6 Harms, H., Pucci, G.N., 2010. Responses of soil microbial communities to weak electric
7 fields. *Sci. Total Environ.* 408, 4886-4893.

8 Wick, L.Y., Shi, L., Harms, H., 2007. Electro-bioremediation of hydrophobic organic
9 soil-contaminants: A review of fundamental interactions. *Electrochim. Acta* 52, 3441-
10 3448.

11 Xu, W., Wang, C., Liu, H., Zhang, Z., Sun, H., 2010. A laboratory feasibility study on a
12 new electrokinetic nutrient injection pattern and bioremediation of phenanthrene in a
13 clayey soil. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 184, 798-804.

14 Yeung, A.T., Gu, Y.Y., 2011. A review on techniques to enhance electrochemical
15 remediation of contaminated soils. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 195, 11-29.